On Selberg's Central Limit Theorem for Dirichlet *L*-functions

Po-Han Hsu

Department of Mathematics Louisiana State University

joint work with Peng-Jie Wong

Southern Regional Number Theory Conference

(日)

For $\Re(s) > 1$, the Riemann zeta function is defined as follows:

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

For $\Re(s) > 0$, one has an integral representation:

$$\zeta(s)=\frac{s}{s-1}-s\int_1^\infty\frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}}dx,$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

where $\{x\} = x - [x]$.

The Riemann Zeta Function

Define $\xi(s) := s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$. $\xi(s)$ is entire and satisfies $\xi(1-s) = \xi(s)$.

Theorem (Prime Number Theorem)

Let $\pi(x)$ denote the number of primes $p \le x$.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x}=1.$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣

(It follows from the non-vanishing of $\zeta(s)$ on $\Re(s) \ge 1$.)

Conjecture (Riemann Hypothesis)

All the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Let q > 1 be an integer. A Dirichlet character χ modulo q is a homomorphism from $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ to \mathbb{C}^{\times} , extended to \mathbb{Z}^{+} by setting $\chi(n) = 0$ for (n, q) > 1. The Dirichlet *L*-function attached to χ is defined by

$$L(\boldsymbol{s},\chi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s}} = \prod_{p} (1-\chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$. Let $\chi_0(n) \equiv 1$. We define

$$L(\boldsymbol{s},\chi_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_0(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \zeta(\boldsymbol{s}).$$

Dirichlet L-functions

Let $S(t) := \sum_{n \le t} \chi(n)$. $L(s, \chi)$ also admits an integral representation for $\Re(s) > 0$:

$$L(\boldsymbol{s},\chi) = \boldsymbol{s} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{S}(t)}{t^{s+1}} dt.$$

Functional equation: $\xi(s, \chi) = \omega_{\chi} \xi(1 - s, \overline{\chi}).$

Theorem (Dirichlet Theorem on Arithmetic Progressions)

Let $\pi(x; q, a)$ denote the number primes $p \le x$ such that $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$. If gcd(a, q) = 1, then

$$\pi(x; q, a) \sim \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad as \quad x \to \infty,$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

where $\phi(q)$ is the Euler function.

Selberg's Central Limit Theorem

Selberg (1946) : log ζ(¹/₂ + *it*) is an "approximately" complex normal distribution.

- Selberg (1946) : log L(¹/₂ + it, χ) is an "approximately" complex normal distribution.
- Radziwłł-Soundararajan (2017) : $\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|)$ (New proof)

Selberg's Central Limit Theorem

Selberg (1946) : log ζ(¹/₂ + *it*) is an "approximately" complex normal distribution.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

- Selberg (1946) : log L(¹/₂ + it, χ) is an "approximately" complex normal distribution.
- Radziwłł-Soundararajan (2017) : $\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|)$ (New proof).

Selberg (1946) : log ζ(¹/₂ + *it*) is an "approximately" complex normal distribution.

▲ロ → ▲ 御 → ▲ 臣 → ▲ 臣 → ● ● ● ● ●

- Selberg (1946) : log L(¹/₂ + it, χ) is an "approximately" complex normal distribution.
- Radziwłł-Soundararajan (2017) :

 $\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|)$ (New proof).

Selberg's Central Limit Theorem

X is of
$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2)$$
 if $\mathcal{P}\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \ge \mathbf{v}\sigma\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{v}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\mathbf{x}^2}{2}} dx.$

Theorem (Selberg)

Let V be a fixed positive real number. Then as $T \to \infty$, one has

$$egin{aligned} &rac{1}{T}\mathfrak{L}\Big\{t\in[T,2T]:\log|\zeta(rac{1}{2}+it)|\geq v\sqrt{rac{1}{2}\log\log T}\Big\}\ &\simrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_v^\infty e^{-rac{x^2}{2}}dx, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $v \in [-V, V]$, where \mathfrak{L} denotes the usual Lebesgue measure.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

SCLT for Dirichlet L-functions

X is of
$$N(0, \sigma^2)$$
 if $\mathcal{P}\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \ge v\sigma\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_v^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$.

Theorem (Selberg)

Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character and V a fixed positive real number. Then as $T \to \infty$, one has

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{T}\mathfrak{L}\Big\{t\in[T,2T]:\log|\mathcal{L}(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi)|\geq v\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\log\log T}\Big\}\\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{v}^{\infty}e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}dx, \end{split}$$

uniformly for $v \in [-V, V]$, where \mathfrak{L} denotes the usual Lebesgue measure.

- Multiplicativity of $\chi(n)$.
- The uniform upper bound of χ , i.e., $|\chi(n)| \leq 1$.
- Method of Moments. (characterizng normal distribution by its moments.)

・ロ > ・ 日 > ・ 日 > ・

- Multiplicativity of $\chi(n)$.
- The uniform upper bound of χ , i.e., $|\chi(n)| \le 1$.
- Method of Moments. (characterizng normal distribution by its moments.)

(日)

- Multiplicativity of $\chi(n)$.
- The uniform upper bound of χ , i.e., $|\chi(n)| \leq 1$.
- Method of Moments. (characterizng normal distribution by its moments.)

- Multiplicativity of $\chi(n)$.
- The uniform upper bound of χ , i.e., $|\chi(n)| \leq 1$.
- Method of Moments. (characterizng normal distribution by its moments.)

We only need a standard zero estimate: Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character (mod q) and N(T, χ) be the number of zeros of L(σ + it, χ), in the rectangle 0 < σ < 1, |t| < T. We have

$$N(T,\chi) = rac{T}{\pi}\lograc{qT}{2\pi} - rac{T}{2\pi} + O(\log qT), ext{ for } T \geq 2.$$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 >

• Selberg's approach needs a more delicate estimate.

We only need a standard zero estimate: Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character (mod q) and N(T, χ) be the number of zeros of L(σ + it, χ), in the rectangle 0 < σ < 1, |t| < T. We have

$$N(T,\chi) = rac{T}{\pi}\lograc{qT}{2\pi} - rac{T}{2\pi} + O(\log qT), ext{ for } T \geq 2.$$

(日)

• Selberg's approach needs a more delicate estimate.

Independence Property

$$\begin{split} &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\text{Are } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \text{ and } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \text{ independent variables} \end{split}$$

Independence: the outcome of one thing would not effect the outcome of the other one.

- Suppose X and Y are independent random variables.
 Then E(XY) = E(X)E(Y).
- Let X be of N(0, σ_x²) and Y of N(0, σ_y²). If they are independent, then X + Y is of N(0, σ_x² + σ_y²). (Basically, the reverse is correct.)

(日)

Independence Property

$$\begin{split} &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\text{Are } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \text{ and } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \text{ independent} \\ &\text{variables?} \end{split}$$

Independence: the outcome of one thing would not effect the outcome of the other one.

- Suppose X and Y are independent random variables.
 Then E(XY) = E(X)E(Y).
- Let X be of N(0, σ_x²) and Y of N(0, σ_y²). If they are independent, then X + Y is of N(0, σ_x² + σ_y²). (Basically, the reverse is correct.)

(日)

$$\begin{split} &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\text{Are } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \text{ and } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \text{ independent} \\ &\text{variables?} \end{split}$$

Independence: the outcome of one thing would not effect the outcome of the other one.

- Suppose X and Y are independent random variables.
 Then E(XY) = E(X)E(Y).
- Let X be of N(0, σ_x²) and Y of N(0, σ_y²). If they are independent, then X + Y is of N(0, σ_x² + σ_y²). (Basically, the reverse is correct.)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

$$\begin{split} &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\text{Are } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \text{ and } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \text{ independent} \\ &\text{variables?} \end{split}$$

Independence: the outcome of one thing would not effect the outcome of the other one.

- Suppose X and Y are independent random variables.
 Then E(XY) = E(X)E(Y).
- Let X be of N(0, σ_x²) and Y of N(0, σ_y²). If they are independent, then X + Y is of N(0, σ_x² + σ_y²). (Basically, the reverse is correct.)

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

$$\begin{split} &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log |t|) \\ &\text{Are } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| \text{ and } \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)| \text{ independent} \\ &\text{variables?} \end{split}$$

Independence: the outcome of one thing would not effect the outcome of the other one.

- Suppose X and Y are independent random variables.
 Then E(XY) = E(X)E(Y).
- Let X be of N(0, σ_x²) and Y of N(0, σ_y²). If they are independent, then X + Y is of N(0, σ_x² + σ_y²). (Basically, the reverse is correct.)

Theorem (H.-Wong, 2019)

Let χ_1 and χ_2 be distinct primitive Dirichlet characters. For T sufficiently large and $t \in [T, 2T]$, the random vector

$$\left(\log |L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_1)|,\log |L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_2)|\right)$$

is, approximately, a bivariate normal distribution with mean vector 0_2 and covariance matrix $\frac{1}{2}(\log \log T)I_2$. Consequently, the random variables $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)|$ and $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)|$ are approximately independent. In particular, for any non-trivial Dirichlet character χ , $\log |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$ and $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|$ are approximately independent.

Proposition (H.-Wong, 2019)

Let χ_1 and χ_2 be distinct primitive Dirichlet characters. Let V be a fixed positive real number. As $T \to \infty$, we have, for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$egin{aligned} &rac{1}{T}\mathfrak{L}\Big\{t\in[T,2T]:\log|\mathcal{L}_{a_1,a_2}(rac{1}{2}+\mathit{it})|\geq v\sqrt{rac{a_1^2+a_2^2}{2}}\log\log T\Big\}\ &\simrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_v^\infty e^{-rac{x^2}{2}}\mathit{d}x, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $v \in [-V, V]$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_{a_1,a_2}(s) = \mathcal{L}(s,\chi_1,\chi_2;a_1,a_2) := |L(s,\chi_1)|^{a_1} |L(s,\chi_2)|^{a_2}$$

・ロト ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・

In other words, $\log |\mathcal{L}_{a_1,a_2}(\frac{1}{2}+it)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\frac{a_1^2+a_2^2}{2}\log\log T).$

- Selberg (1989) did remark "statistical independence." It seems that (at least, according to the argument sketched in the article and the language of modern probability theory) Selberg's assertion is more close to the "uncorrelatedness" among random variables, which is a consequence of the "independence." ($a_1 = a_2 = 1$)
- Introducing an additive structure⇒Breaking multiplicativity!

- Selberg (1989) did remark "statistical independence." It seems that (at least, according to the argument sketched in the article and the language of modern probability theory) Selberg's assertion is more close to the "uncorrelatedness" among random variables, which is a consequence of the "independence." ($a_1 = a_2 = 1$)
- Introducing an additive structure⇒Breaking multiplicativity!

(日)

- Selberg (1989) did remark "statistical independence." It seems that (at least, according to the argument sketched in the article and the language of modern probability theory) Selberg's assertion is more close to the "uncorrelatedness" among random variables, which is a consequence of the "independence." ($a_1 = a_2 = 1$)
- Introducing an additive structure⇒Breaking multiplicativity!

(日)

Theorem (H.-Wong, 2019)

Let $(\chi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be a sequence of distinct primitive Dirichlet characters. Then, for T sufficiently large and $t \in [T, 2T]$, the random vector

$$\left(\log |L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_1)|,\cdots,\log |L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_n)|\right)$$

is approximately an n-variate normal distribution with mean vector 0_n and covariance matrix $\frac{1}{2}(\log \log T)I_n$. Consequently, the random variables $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_j)|$'s are approximately independent, and $(\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|)_{\chi \in J}$ forms a Gaussian process for any totally ordered set J of (distinct) primitive Dirichlet characters.

 $\mathcal{L}_{a_1,\cdots,a_n}(s) = |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_n)|^{a_n} \text{ is too hard to}$ handle \Rightarrow Making use of probability theory.

Goal:
$$\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \log \log T)$$
.
Sketch of proof:

- For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|$ and $\log |L(\sigma + it, \chi)|$ are close. (Allowing to study the problem away from the critical line.)
- Define $P(s) := \sum_{2 \le n \le X} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$. $\Re(P) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log T)$. (Note $\log L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$)

(日) (圖) (目) (E) (E)

- Define $M(s) := \sum_n \frac{\mu(n)a(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$. $M(s) \approx e^{P(s)}$.
- $L(s,\chi) \approx M^{-1}(s)$. (Note $L^{-1}(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$)

Goal:
$$\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \log \log T)$$
.
Sketch of proof:

- For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|$ and $\log |L(\sigma + it, \chi)|$ are close. (Allowing to study the problem away from the critical line.)
- Define $P(s) := \sum_{2 \le n \le X} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$. $\Re(P) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log T)$. (Note $\log L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$)
- Define $M(s) := \sum_n \frac{\mu(n)a(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$. $M(s) \approx e^{P(s)}$.
- $L(s,\chi) \approx M^{-1}(s)$. (Note $L^{-1}(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$)

Goal:
$$\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \log \log T)$$
.
Sketch of proof:

- For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|$ and $\log |L(\sigma + it, \chi)|$ are close. (Allowing to study the problem away from the critical line.)
- Define $P(s) := \sum_{2 \le n \le X} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$. $\Re(P) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log T)$. (Note $\log L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

- Define $M(s) := \sum_n \frac{\mu(n)a(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$. $M(s) \approx e^{P(s)}$.
- $L(s,\chi) \approx M^{-1}(s)$. (Note $L^{-1}(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$)

Goal:
$$\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)| \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \log \log T)$$
.
Sketch of proof:

- For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)|$ and $\log |L(\sigma + it, \chi)|$ are close. (Allowing to study the problem away from the critical line.)
- Define $P(s) := \sum_{2 \le n \le X} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$. $\Re(P) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2} \log \log T)$. (Note $\log L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● ● ● ●

- Define $M(s) := \sum_n \frac{\mu(n)a(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$. $M(s) \approx e^{P(s)}$.
- $L(s,\chi) \approx M^{-1}(s)$. (Note $L^{-1}(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}$)

Proof of Independence Property

Goal:

 $\log \left(|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)|^{a_1} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)|^{a_2} \right) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2}{2} \log \log T)$ Sketch of proof (basically follows the proof of SCLT):

•
$$\log\left(|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_1)|^{a_1}|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_2)|^{a_2}\right) = a_1 \log|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_1)| + a_2 \log|L(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi_2)|^{a_2}$$

• For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_k)|$ and $\log |L(\sigma + it, \chi_k)|$ are close.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

• The corresponding series in moment calculation is $P_{a_1,a_2}(s) = \sum_{2 \le n \le X} \frac{\Lambda(n)[a_1\chi_1(n) + a_2\chi_2(n)]}{n^s}.$ $\Re(P_{a_1,a_2}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2}{2} \log \log T).$

Proof of Independence Property

Goal:

 $\log \left(|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)|^{a_1} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)|^{a_2} \right) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2}{2} \log \log T)$ Sketch of proof (basically follows the proof of SCLT):

•
$$\log \left(|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)|^{a_1} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)|^{a_2} \right) = a_1 \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| + a_2 \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)|^{a_2}$$

• For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_k)|$ and $\log |L(\sigma + it, \chi_k)|$ are close.

(日)

• The corresponding series in moment calculation is $P_{a_1,a_2}(s) = \sum_{2 \le n \le X} \frac{\Lambda(n)[a_1\chi_1(n) + a_2\chi_2(n)]}{n^s}.$ $\Re(P_{a_1,a_2}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2}{2} \log \log T).$ Goal:

 $\log \left(|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)|^{a_1} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)|^{a_2} \right) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2}{2} \log \log T)$ Sketch of proof (basically follows the proof of SCLT):

•
$$\log \left(|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)|^{a_1} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)|^{a_2} \right) = a_1 \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_1)| + a_2 \log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_2)|$$

• For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, $\log |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi_k)|$ and $\log |L(\sigma + it, \chi_k)|$ are close.

• The corresponding series in moment calculation is $P = (c) - \sum \frac{\Lambda(n)[a_1\chi_1(n) + a_2\chi_2(n)]}{\Lambda(n)[a_1\chi_1(n) + a_2\chi_2(n)]}$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{a_1,a_2}(s) &= \sum_{2 \le n \le X} \frac{\mathcal{R}(n)[a_1,x](n) + a_2,x_2(n)}{n^s} \\ \Re(P_{a_1,a_2}) &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{a_1^2 + a_2^2}{2} \log \log T). \end{aligned}$$

Thank you.

Po-Han Hsu

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで